# **SECRET**

#### JOURNAL

#### OFFICE OF LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL

Thursday - 26 June 1969

25X1		I talked to Mr. Arthur Kuhl, Chief Clerk, Senate Foreign Relations Committee, concerning the deletion of the Director's testimony from the transcript of the hearing of 23 June. Mr. Kuhl advised that the preferred method for reporting excisions from the transcript would be to bracket the material rather than to line it out. He also advised that he had talked to General Lawrence, Deputy Assistant to the Secretary of Defense, yesterday requesting that the Secretary's office return their corrections and recommended security deletions by Friday afternoon or Saturday morning at the latest. He has not yet heard from General Lawrence who wanted to check the matter with Mr. Stempler. Mr. Kuhl also noted that the Agency would probably have little difficulty in the security review of the transcript since it was his understanding that it had been agreed by the Committee Chairman that the Director's testimony would be deleted.
25X1	25X1A	I talked to Mrs. Mary McLaughlin, Senate Foreign Relations Committee staff, who advised that various members of the Committee are actively reviewing the transcript of the DCI/Laird testimony of 23 June. Mrs. McLaughlin indicated that at least one senator will be working on the transcript between 6 and 7 p.m. this evening. It was agreed, therefore, that the Committee copies of the transcript would be picked up at 7 rather than 5:30 this evening. The transcripts will be returned to the Committee for their use in the morning.
25X1		3. Mr. Bob O'Regan, in the office of Representative Dante B. Fascell (D., Fla.), called regarding the employment applications of and advised that
		DD/I DD/S DD/S&T OPPB EA/DDP 25X1A
		<b>のだんなたず</b>

SECRET

# Approved For Release 2004/01/12 : CIA-RDP71B00364R000300180019-6 SECRET

	Journal - Office of Legislative Counsel Page Tuesday - 24 June 1969	e 2
25X1	4. I talked to Mrs. Angie Morris, Senate Foreign Relations Committee staff, and received from her the Pincus and Paul itinerary for the Far East trip beginning 25 June. Mrs. Morris advised that she would forward a detailed itinerary when it is completed later today or tomorrow.	
25X1	Talked to Mr. Frank Hand, OSD Liaison, at various times during the day concerning Agency and <u>DOD</u> review of the DCI/Laird transcript of testimony before the Senate Foreign Relation Committee on Monday, 23 June. Late in the day an appointment was must by Bruce Clarke, OSR, to meet with Bill Baroody and Tom Brooke, of the Secretary of Defense's office, at 2:00 p.m. tomorrow for possible final review of the transcript. (See Memorandum for the Record.)	ons ade
25X1	6. The transcript of the Director's testimony before the Gore Subcommittee of the Senate Foreign Relations Committee on 23 April was forwarded to the Committee together with two copies of the DCI/Laird transcript of Monday, 23 June. Copy No. 3 of the 23rd of June transcript was retained for Agency use.	•
	JOHN M. MAURY  Legislative Counsel	
	cc: 25X1A Ex/Dir-Compt O/DDCI	
	Mr. Houston	
	Mr. Goodwin DD/I DD/S	
	DD/S&T	•

EA/DDP OPPB

#### Approved For Release 60 Not 112 Ed WH pt 71B00364R000300180019-6

Page 3

Journal - Office of Legislative Counsel Wednesday - 4 June 1969 Received a call from Mr. Jack 25X125X1A 8. Buttram, Press Secretary to Senator Paul J. Fannin (R., Ariz.), who advised that the Senator is preparing a speech and wants to know if the Mr. Buttram noted on the Senator's benail that the 25X1 Senator would appreciate the information and would handle it in keeping with whatever limitations were necessary. I thanked him for the call and told him I would be back in touch with him tomorrow morning. Colonel Everett Harper, Committee 25X1 Counsel to Senator Thomas J. McIntyre's (D., N. H.) Subcommittee on Research and Development, called in connection with a request made by Alton Frye, of Senator Edward W. Brooke's (R., Mass.) staff, for a classified briefing. Harper said that he was trying to get the Agency out of the middle on this and, therefore, was attempting to arrange for Frye and himself to receive the briefing desired from DIA. finesse the request for an Agency briefing and is acceptable to Frye. \_\_\_\_ of DIA, and 25X1 Harper has discussed this approach with Brigadier General Joseph Lawrence, Office of Secretary of Defense (Legislative Affairs). He is hopeful arrangements can be made for Frye to be given the special clearances required to achieve this. 25X1A l. of NE 25X1 Worked with 10. Division, in preparing suggested language for the State Department to use in a response to Senator Fulbright on the subject of signal We subsequently discussed 25X1 intelligence equipment 25X1 this language with State Department, who is preparing the response to Senator Fulbright, and we agreed on the language to be used. William Woodruff, on the staff of the 25X1 Senate Appropriations Committee, called and asked if I could tell him whether Assistant Secretary of Defense Froehlke had any status with

the United States Intelligence Board (USIB). After checking with Mr. John A. Bross, D/DCI/NIPE, I advised Mr. Woodruff that

Mr. Froehlke had no status in the USIB.

### **SECRET**

#### JOURNAL

#### OFFICE OF LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL

Wednesday - 4 June 1969

25X1A

5X1	25X1A 1. Accompanied Messrs.
	, OSI, to brief Senator Howard Baker (R., Tenn.) on Soviet develop-
	ments regarding controlled fusion reaction. Baker was most appreciative
	and commented that he had discussed this and other problems in the nuclear
	field with various other agencies concerned but the CIA people "really knew
	what they were talking about" (see Memorandum for the Record).
5X1	2. Per the Director's instructions, called on
	Representative Leslie Arends (R., Ill.) to clarify the Agency's position on
	certain current controversial issues (see Memorandum for the Record).
5X1	3. Met with Frank Slatinshek, Counsel, House Armed
	Services Committee:
	a. In response to my question, Slatinshek said he was quite happy
•	a. In response to my question, Statistick said no was quite in the Agency budget, about which he had recently
	to take up the question of the Agency budget, about which he had recently
	inquired, with Robert Michaels, House Appropriations Committee staff.
•	Slatinshek said that his interest arose from the fact that Chairman Pike, of
÷	the Subcommittee Investigating the <u>Pueblo</u> , had learned that NBC was preparing

b. I asked Slatinshek if he was aware of any criticism within the Armed Services Committee regarding the Agency's role in current controversial matters such as the SS-9 and the ABM. Slatinshek said he had heard no such criticism, that the Agency Subcommittee had apparently been quite satisfied with the Director's recent briefing, and that those in a position to know probably recognize the fact that any differences between <u>DOD</u> and the Agency in assessment of the Soviet threat were differences in emphasis rather than in basic facts.

# SECRET

a feature TV program on the <u>Pueblo</u> which, among other things, raised questions about the scope and excessive cost of U.S. intelligence activities. Slatinshek said that Pike and other members of the Armed Services Committee were not particularly concerned about CIA in this regard, but were concerned

about the amounts being spent by <u>DIA</u> and NSA.

# Approved For Release 2004/01/12 : CIA-RDP71B00364R000300180019-6

Journal - Office of Legislative Counsel Monday - 2 June 1969 Page 3

25X1

8. Mr. Alton Frye, Legislative Assistant to Senator Edward Brooke (R., Mass.), called to say that, under the Senator's direction, he was working on a report of the Subcommittee on Research and Development of Senate Armed Services Committee (which Mr. Duckett briefed on 17 March 1969 re Soviet R&D). Mr. Frye said he wished to get up-to-date on the recent Pacific testing (the SS-9, the MRV) and also the ABM.

Because of the existing policy against briefing staff employees of individual senators on controversial and sensitive issues, I checked separately with Colonel Harper, Professional Staff Member, Senate Preparedness Subcommittee; Mr. Edward Braswell, Chief of Staff, Senate Armed Services Committee; and James T. Kendall, Chief Counsel, Senate Preparedness Subcommittee. Colonel Harper confirmed that Frye had Top Secret clearance but felt the question should be cleared with Mr. Braswell and possibly Senator Stennis. Braswell said he could not approve the briefing of Frye, particularly in view of the fact that this apparently was a personal request on behalf of Senator Brooke and therefore not within the framework of the Committee-Agency relationship.

Kendall agreed that we might be setting an unfortunate precedent by briefing Frye but thought we should of course offer to brief Senator Brooke himself.

I called Frye back and explained that in view of the existing ground rules limiting sensitive briefings only to members and committee staff members, I did not see how we could accede to his request. Frye said he recognized there was a problem, but could not see any logic in existing policies of discrimination against staff members with full security clearances especially when they were eligible for briefings by DOD. He said he thought Senator Brooke would want to pursue the problem further and we would see where we came out. (Frye's manner was entirely friendly throughout, but he indicated that he did not consider the matter closed.)

25X1

Called Robert Michaels, House Appropriations Committee staff, and explained the request of Frank Slatinshek, House Armed Services Committee staff, for information on the Agency budget. Mr. Michaels said he would be glad to discuss the subject with Slatinshek and suggested we have Slatinshek call Michaels.

## SECRET